

AMENDMENT

In the Specification (other than the claims):

On page 1, please INSERT the following REPLACEMENT PARAGRAPHS immediately following “CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS”:

The present application is related to, claims the earliest available effective filing date(s) from (e.g., claims earliest available priority dates for other than provisional patent applications; claims benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for provisional patent applications), and incorporates by reference in its entirety all subject matter of the following listed application(s) (the “Related Applications”) to the extent such subject matter is not inconsistent herewith; the present application also claims the earliest available effective filing date(s) from, and also incorporates by reference in its entirety all subject matter of any and all parent, grandparent, great-grandparent, etc. applications of the ~~following listed applications:~~ Related Application(s) to the extent such subject matter is not inconsistent herewith. The United States Patent Office (USPTO) has published a notice to the effect that the USPTO’s computer programs require that patent applicants reference both a serial number and indicate whether an application is a continuation or continuation in part. Stephen G. Kunin, *Benefit of Prior-Filed Application*, USPTO Electronic Official Gazette, March 18, 2003 at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2003/week11/patbene.htm>. The present applicant entity has provided below a specific reference to the application(s) *from which priority is being claimed* as recited by statute. Applicant entity understands that the statute is unambiguous in its specific reference language and does not require either a serial number or any characterization such as “continuation” or “continuation-in-part.” Notwithstanding the foregoing, applicant entity understands that the USPTO’s computer programs have certain data entry requirements, and hence applicant entity is designating the present application as a continuation in part of its parent applications, but expressly points out that such designations are not to be construed in any way as any type of commentary and/or admission as to whether or not the present application contains any new matter in addition to the matter of its parent application(s).

Related Applications:

1. United States patent application entitled MOTE-ASSOCIATED INDEX CREATION, naming **Edward K.Y. Jung and Clarence T. Tegreene** as inventors, USAN: 10/816,375 filed ~~substantially contemporaneously herewith~~31 March 2004.
2. United States patent application entitled TRANSMISSION OF MOTE-ASSOCIATED INDEX DATA, naming **Edward K.Y. Jung and Clarence T. Tegreene** as inventors, USAN: 10/816,082 filed ~~substantially contemporaneously herewith~~31 March 2004.
3. United States patent application entitled AGGREGATING MOTE-ASSOCIATED INDEX DATA, naming **Edward K.Y. Jung and Clarence T. Tegreene** as inventors, USAN: 10/816,358 filed ~~substantially contemporaneously herewith~~31 March 2004.
4. United States patent application entitled TRANSMISSION OF AGGREGATED MOTE-ASSOCIATED INDEX DATA, naming **Edward K.Y. Jung and Clarence T. Tegreene** as inventors, USAN: 10/816,102 filed ~~substantially contemporaneously herewith~~31 March 2004.
5. United States patent application entitled FEDERATING MOTE-ASSOCIATED INDEX DATA, naming **Edward K.Y. Jung and Clarence T. Tegreene** as inventors, USAN: 10/816,364 filed ~~substantially contemporaneously herewith~~31 March 2004.
6. United States patent application entitled MOTE NETWORKS USING DIRECTIONAL ANTENNA TECHNIQUES, naming **Clarence T. Tegreene** as inventor, USAN: 10/814,454 filed ~~substantially contemporaneously herewith~~31 March 2004.